

# EVALUATION OF RADIOACTIVITY IN MONTENEGRO SOIL USING A STATISTICAL APPROACH

## Nevenka M. Antović<sup>1\*</sup>, Nikola R. Svrkota<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Montenegro, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Podgorica, Montenegro <sup>2</sup>Centre for Ecotoxicological Research, Podgorica, Montenegro

**Abstract**. Surface soil from 47 locations in Montenegro had been previously analyzed for radioactivity due to natural <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and man-made <sup>137</sup>Cs, and showed mean activity concentrations around 41.1, 45.8, 500 and 95.2 Bq/kg, respectively. Discriminant Analysis used in the present study for the classification, with activity concentrations of radionuclides as independent variables and the Montenegro region (South, Center, North) as a grouping variable, showed 76.6% of original grouped cases as correctly classified. The radium equivalent activity, external and internal hazard index showed a mean of 142 Bq/kg, 0.39 and 0.5, respectively. An average external terrestrial gamma absorbed dose rate was found to be 67.5 nGy/h – for natural radionuclides only, and 79.3 nGy/h for natural radionuclides and <sup>137</sup>Cs. The corresponding annual effective dose showed a mean of 0.08 mSv and around 0.1 mSv, respectively. These hazard indices, together with radionuclide activities, are used in the factor analysis performed with Principal Component Analysis as the extraction method and Varimax with Kaiser Normalization as the rotation method. Two components were extracted. The first one loaded basically on <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>226</sup>Ra activity explained ~80.6% of the total variance, while the second component explaining ~12.2% of the total variance is found to be strongly correlated with <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>40</sup>K activity.

Keywords: soil, Montenegro, radionuclides, Discriminant Analysis, PCA

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Montenegro is a Balkan country with a territory of 13 812 km<sup>2</sup> and a population of around 620 000 according to the Census in 2011 [1]. A geographical distribution of the municipalities in Montenegro is:

- South, i.e. South Adriatic coastal region (Ulcinj, Bar, Budva, Tivat, Kotor, Herceg Novi),
- Center (Cetinje, Podgorica, Danilovgrad, Nikšić),
- North (Plužine, Šavnik, Žabljak, Pljevlja, Bijelo Polje, Berane, Rožaje, Andrijevica, Plav, Mojkovac, Kolašin).

Podgorica and Nikšić are the largest municipalities – by the population and land area, respectively.

Radionuclides of terrestrial origin and their activity concentrations in the soil of Montenegro have been previously analyzed in the frame of wider studies (e.g. [2-4]), such as external exposure by gamma radiation from nuclides in the series of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, as well as <sup>40</sup>K. The man-made <sup>137</sup>Cs of the Chernobyl origin [5] was also measured in soil samples and then analyzed from various aspects.

It is known [6] that activity concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K in soil in the world have medians in the range from 140 to 850 Bq/kg, with an arithmetic mean of 400 Bq/kg and population-weighted mean 420 Bq/kg, but also that <sup>238</sup>U activity concentrations in soil worldwide showed medians in the range from 16 to 110 Bq/kg, with a mean of 35 Bq/kg, and population-weighted mean 33 Bq/kg. In the case of  $^{226}$ Ra medians are from 17 to 60 Bq/kg, with a mean of 35 Bq/kg, and population-weighted mean 32 Bq/kg, and  $^{232}$ Th – from 11 to 64 Bq/kg, with means of 30 Bq/kg and 45 Bq/kg, respectively [6].

If public exposure to natural radiation is considered, according to the UNSCEAR 2008 report [7], average annual effective dose coming from external terrestrial radiation outdoors is 0.07 mSv (indoor -0.41 mSv, and in total from external terrestrial radiation -0.48 mSv) which is less than 3% of the total average annual effective dose from natural sources (2.4 mSv).

The present study has been aimed to evaluate for the first time radioactivity in soils of Montenegro using a statistical approach. Therefore, some of the already published data related to activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs in surface soils of the three country regions (South, Center, North), as well as a few new ones, are used in the analysis.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A standard procedure for the sampling [8] had been applied previously to take soil samples: 47 in total, 10 on the South, 17 in the Central region, and 20 on the North, covering all the municipalities previously mentioned (with more than one soil sample from Bar, Budva, Kotor, Podgorica, Nikšić and Mojkovac).

<sup>\* &</sup>lt;u>antovicn@yahoo.com</u>

The top of uncultivated soil (up to 5 cm) had been sampled from an area of 25 cm x 25 cm. The samples were dried at room temperature, passed through the 2 mm sieves, placed in the 0.5 or 1L Marinelli beakers and kept for more than 38 days at airtight conditions (with no <sup>222</sup>Rn emanation), to allow radioactive equilibrium between <sup>226</sup>Ra and its decay products.

Gamma spectrometry was carried out in the Centre for Ecotoxicological Research in Podgorica, using the coaxial HPGe spectrometers ORTEC GEM-40190 (relative efficiency: 40%) and ORTEC GEM-30185-S (relative efficiency: 35%). The spectrometers were calibrated in the standard way using 0.5 and 1L Marinelli beaker calibration standards (mixtures of gamma-emitting radionuclides), as well as the software Gamma Vision 32 [9].

The activity concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra in soil samples were determined using photopeaks created by gamma rays emitted after decays of its daughters – at the energies of 295 keV, 352 keV, 609 keV, 1120.2 keV and 1764.4 keV;  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{228}$ Ac – 338 keV and 911 keV,  $^{40}$ K – 1461 keV, and  $^{137}$ Cs – 662 keV [10]. The standard procedure was applied, and radionuclide activity concentrations were determined using the total net counts (after subtracting corresponding background) under the selected photopeaks, photoefficiency,  $\gamma$ -ray relative intensity, as well as weight of the samples.

Measured activity concentrations ( $A_c(^{226}Ra)$ ,  $A_c(^{232}Th)$ ,  $A_c(^{40}K)$ ,  $A_c(^{137}Cs)$ ) were then used to calculate hazard indices: radium equivalent activity ( $Ra_{eq}$ ), external hazard index ( $H_{ex}$ ), internal hazard index ( $H_{in}$ ), activity concentration index (I) – due to natural radionuclides  $^{226}Ra$ ,  $^{232}Th$  and  $^{40}K$ , as well as gamma absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m above the ground level (D) and corresponding annual effective dose (E). These two doses are estimated for natural radionuclides ( $D_{natural}$  and  $E_{natural}$ ), but also for natural radionuclides and  $^{137}Cs$  ( $D_{(natural+Cs)}$ ).

The radium equivalent activity (expressed in Bq/kg; with upper level of 370 Bq/kg in samples of building materials) can be calculated using [11]

$$Ra_{eq} = A_c(^{226}Ra) + 1.43 \cdot A_c(^{232}Th) + 0.077 \cdot A_c(^{40}K);$$
(1)

the external hazard index (with *unity* as upper level; corresponding to the upper limit of radium equivalent activity)

$$H_{ex} = A_c(^{226}Ra)/370 + A_c(^{232}Th)/259 + A_c(^{40}K)/4810,(2)$$

the internal hazard index (hazardous internal exposure to radon and its short-lived daughters)

$$H_{in} = A_c(^{226}Ra)/185 + A_c(^{232}Th)/259 + A_c(^{40}K)/4810, (3)$$

which should also be less than *unity* for the radiation risk to be negligible.

The activity concentration index is defined as [12]

$$I = A_c(^{226}Ra)/300 + A_c(^{232}Th)/200 + A_c(^{40}K)/3000, \quad (4)$$

and it applies to the building material, in excess of typical external outdoor exposure, and its value of 1 relates to the gamma radiation dose from building materials, i.e. its reference level of 1 mSv per year.

The gamma absorbed dose rate in the air at 1 m above the ground level was calculated (in nGy/h) using the equation:

 $D=A_{c}(^{226}Ra)\cdot 0.462+A_{c}(^{232}Th)\cdot 0.604+A_{c}(^{40}K)\cdot 0.0417,$  (5) 91 where 0.462, 0.604 and 0.0417 nGy/h per Bq/kg are corresponding dose coefficients [6]. In order to obtain  $D_{(natural+Cs)}$ , i.e. evaluate the same absorbed dose rate due to natural radionuclides and fission product <sup>137</sup>Cs, A<sub>c</sub>(<sup>137</sup>Cs)·0.1243 should be added to the Eq. (5).

The corresponding annual effective dose (in Sv) was estimated by

$$E = D.8760.0.2.e,$$
 (6)

where D is dose rate (Eq. 5), e is the dose conversion factor (0.7 Sv/Gy [6]), while numerical values stand for the outdoor occupancy factor (0.2) and number of hours in year (8760 h/y).

The software IBM SPSS Statistics [13] was used for statistical analysis of all the data. In addition to frequencies (descriptive statistics), comparing means and testing Pearson correlations, Discriminant Analysis (linear discriminant function analysis) is used for the classification - with activity concentrations of radionuclides as independent variables and Montenegro region (South, Center, North) as grouping variable. This analysis is a multivariate test of differences among groups, and it is used to predict memberships in different (mutually exclusive) groups. The factor analysis was also performed with Principal Component Analysis as the extraction method and Varimax with Kaiser Normalization as the rotation method.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of descriptive statistics for all the indices are given in Table 1, with frequencies for  ${}^{40}$ K and  ${}^{232}$ Th activity concentrations shown in Fig. 1 as an illustration.

Skewness and kurtosis values in Table 1 show that distribution of all the indices more or less deviates from the normal distribution. Skewness is the measure of asymmetry (there is no skew in the normal distribution; positive skewness means that the distribution is skewed to the right and negative – to the left), and kurtosis also characterizes distribution shape and symmetry (equal to zero in the normal distribution; positive value means distribution more peaked than the normal one). The standard error of skewness was 0.347, while that of kurtosis: 0.681.

Activity concentrations of natural radionuclides in soils of Montenegro showed averages higher than those characterizing the world as a whole [6].

At the same time, activity concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra and  $^{232}$ Th showed a statistically significant positive correlation, with Pearson correlation coefficient r=0.868 and *Sig.* value (2-tailed) 0.000 (correlation is significant at the level of 0.01).

Positive correlations, but not statistically significant, were found between  ${}^{226}Ra$  and  ${}^{137}Cs$  activity concentrations (r=0.252 and *Sig.* 0.087),  ${}^{232}Th$  and  ${}^{137}Cs$  (r=0.170 and *Sig.* 0.253),  ${}^{232}Th$  and  ${}^{40}K$  (r=0.212 and *Sig.* 0.153). Negative correlations, not statistically significant as well, were found between activity concentrations of  ${}^{40}K$  and  ${}^{137}Cs$  (r=-0.273 and *Sig.* 0.063),  ${}^{226}Ra$  and  ${}^{40}K$  (r=-0.011 and *Sig.* 0.940).

Table 1. Results – descriptive statistics						
	<sup>137</sup> Cs, Bq/kg	<sup>40</sup> K, Bq/kg				
Mean	95.2	499.8				
Std. error of mean	15.7	20.8				
Min-Max	1.3-476	245-753				
Range	474.7	508				
Median	62.4	491				
Std. deviation	107.4	142.5				
Variance	11527	20311				
Skewness	2.021	-0.040				
Kurtosis	4.174 226Do Ba/lra	-1.140				
	•Ka, bq/kg	-5-111, Dq/ Kg				
Mean	41.1	45.8				
Std. error of mean	5.44	2.31				
Min-Max Dongo	11-216	18.2-107				
Modian	205	00.0 49.7				
Std deviation	29	43.7				
Variance	3/·2/ 1280	15.00 251 4				
Skewness	3.18	1.479				
Kurtosis	11.2	4.009				
	Ra <sub>eq</sub> , Bq/kg	Hex				
Mean	141.6	0.202				
Std error of mean	8 606	0.392				
Min-Max	56 8-403	0.0230				
Range	346.2	0.139 1.099				
Median	132	0.3673				
Std. deviation	59.62	0.1618				
Variance	3554	0.026				
Skewness	2.455	2.424				
Kurtosis	8.147	8.043				
	$H_{in}$	Ι				
Mean	0.503	0.532				
Std. error of mean	0.0378	0.030				
Min-Max	0.188-1.683	0.222-1.419				
Range	1.494	1.197				
Median	0.446	0.504				
Std. deviation	0.259	0.206				
Variance	0.067	0.043				
Skewness	2.828	2.276				
Kurtosis	9.710	7.401				
	Dnatural, IIGy/II	Enatural, IIISV				
Mean	67.5	0.0828				
Std. error of mean	3.945	0.00484				
Min-Max	27.8-185	0.034-0.227				
Kange	157 69 F	0.1927				
Median Std doviation	03.5	0.0//9				
Variance	-/.05 731.6	0.001				
Skewness	2.370	2.370				
Kurtosis	7.837	7.839				
	D <sub>(natural+Cs)</sub> , nGy/h	E(natural+Cs), mSv				
Moor	70.2	0.007				
Std error of mean	/ 9·3 4 67	0.09/				
Min-May	28.1-105	0.034-0.220				
Range	167.07	0.2049				
Median	71.907	0.0882				
Std. deviation	32.027	0.0393				
Variance	1025.7	0.002				
Skewness	1.753	1.753				
Kurtosis	3.980	3.982				

Mean values of the  ${}^{137}$ Cs,  ${}^{40}$ K,  ${}^{226}$ Ra and  ${}^{232}$ Th activity concentrations in the South region were found to be around 36.4, 445, 38.3 and 38.4 Bq/kg, respectively, in the Center – 135, 453, 57 and 53.7 Bq/kg, respectively; and in the North – 91, 567, 29 and 42.7 Bq/kg, respectively.



concentrations in soils - fitted by the normal distribution

sediments [15].

In the view of geology, the South as a region belongs to the Adriatic-Ionian and Budva-Cukali geotectonic zones [14, 15]. The first one, Adriatic-Ionian, has geological structure consisting of Upper Cretaceous calcareous sediments, Middle Eocene foraminifer limestone and flysch, Upper Eocene flysch sediments, Middle Miocene and Quaternary sediments. The second one, Budva-Cukali, has geological structure consisting of Triassic, Jurassic, Cretaceous, Paleogene and Quaternary calcareous, eruptive and clastic rocks. The Central region is characterized by the occurrence of bauxite formations and basically belongs to the High Karst geotectonic zone dominantly composed of Mesozoic carbonate sediments, Triassic volcanic rocks, Paleogene flysch sediments, Quaternary

The fourth geotectonic zone recognized in Montenegro is known as the Durmitor Tectonic Unit

and encompasses the North region. Its geological

structure consists of clastites (Paleozoic and Lower

N. M. Antović, N. R. Svrkota, Evaluation of radioactivity..., RAP Conf. Proc., vol. 4, 2019, 90-95

Triassic), carbonates (Triassic and Jurassic), but also 92

volcanic rocks and clastites (Middle Triassic and Upper Jurassic), as well as sediments (Neogene and Quaternary) [15].

Comparing means by the One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), with the region as a factor and radionuclide activity concentration as the dependent variable, showed that the ANOVA is significant (Sig. value <0.05) for 40K (Siq. 0.016) and 232Th (Siq. 0.024), while in the case of <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>226</sup>Ra (Sig. 0.066 and Sig. 0.069) it is not. To determine which regions differ significantly in the mean activity concentrations. multiple comparisons were carried out using the LSD (Least Significant Difference) post hoc tests (equal variances assumed). Results of multiple comparisons, together with the lower and upper bound of the 95% confidence interval, are mean differences, standard errors and Sig. values. The mean differences which were found to be significant at the 0.05 level are given in Table 2. It should be noted that the same differences (but negative) are found when changing the position of the region (from j to i). Therefore, for example, the same absolute difference is found for the 137Cs: South-Center (-98.3395).

Table 2. Multiple	comparisons -	<ul> <li>significant</li> </ul>	mean differences
	· · · · · · ·		

	Region (i)	Region (j)	Mean difference (i–j)	Standar d error	Sig.
<sup>137</sup> Cs	Center	South	98.3395	41.1334	0.021
40K	North	Center	114.6353	43.7794	0.012
		South	122.7000	51.3989	0.021
<sup>226</sup> Ra	Center	North	28.0309	11.8285	0.022
<sup>232</sup> Th	Center	South	15.2853	5.9344	0.013
		North	11.0803	4.9122	0.029

Discriminant Analysis of the radionuclide activity concentrations included:

statistics – descriptive (*means, univariate ANOVAs* i *Box's M*),

function coefficients (Fisher's and unstandardized),

*within-groups correlation* matrix, and classification – *compute from group sizes* (and *all groups equal* as another possibility) for prior probabilities,

*within-groups* covariance matrix, with summary table and separate- and combined-groups plots;

as well as saving predicted group membership, discriminant score, probabilities of group membership.

It showed results as follows.

Results of the univariate ANOVA test (comparing means for each group and each variable to test the significance of differences among them) are presented in Table 3. It is known that a smaller value of Wilks's lambda indicates more importance of the independent variable to the discriminant function. Here, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>232</sup>Th differ significantly (as mentioned previously) for the groups (regions).

Log determinants obtained as results of the Box's M test (comparing the group covariance matrices) are: 26.921 for the South, 30.217 – Center, 24.604 – North, 29.740 – pooled within-groups, showing that the Center covariance matrix differs more, and that there

are deviations from the null hypothesis of equal population covariance matrices (in such a case the determinants are relatively equal). This is confirmed by the Box's M of 115.34 and *Sig.* value 0.000 which means that considered data differ significantly from multivariate normality.

Table 3. Tests of group means equality

	Wilks' lambda	Sig.
<sup>137</sup> Cs, Bq/kg	0.884	0.066
<sup>40</sup> K, Bq/kg	0.829	0.016
<sup>226</sup> Ra, Bq/kg	0.885	0.069
<sup>232</sup> Th, Bq/kg	0.844	0.024

A summary of canonical discriminant functions is given in Table 4 with *eigenvalues* indicating percentage of the variance explained (stronger function has higher eigenvalue). It also contains Wilks' lambda, whose maximal value of 1 appears when considered group means are equal, as small values point out the difference among group means (and variance within groups – small in comparison to the total variability). Based on this value it can be concluded how well functions distribute cases into groups. It is clear that in this case the group means differ significantly (*Sig.* <0.05).

Table 4. Eigenvalues and Wilks' lambda

Eigenvalues					
Function	Eigenvalue	% of variance	Canon. correl.		
1	0.464	55.3	0.563		
2	0.375	44.7	0.522		
Wilks' lambda					
Test of functions	Wilks' lambda	$\chi^2$	Sig.		
1 through 2	0.496	29.759	0.000		
2	0.727	13.549	0.004		

The canonical discriminant function coefficients (standardized – indicating an importance of the radionuclide activity concentrations in predicting variable which is the dependent one here, and unstandardized – scores related to the independent variables) are given in Table 5. Higher (absolute) values of the standardized coefficient mean greater variable discriminating ability ( $^{232}$ Th for function 1, and  $^{40}$ K for function 2).

Table 5. The canonical discriminant function coefficients

	Standardized		
	Function 1	Function 2	
<sup>137</sup> Cs, Bq/kg	0.592	0.496	
<sup>40</sup> K, Bq/kg	-0.370	0.914	
<sup>226</sup> Ra, Bq/kg	-1.338	-0.807	
<sup>232</sup> Th, Bq/kg	1.872	0.205	
	Unstandardized		
	Function 1	Function 2	
<sup>137</sup> Cs, Bq/kg	0.006	0.005	
<sup>40</sup> K, Bq/kg	-0.003	0.007	
<sup>226</sup> Ra, Bq/kg	-0.037	-0.022	
<sup>232</sup> Th, Bq/kg	0.126	0.014	

Unstandardized canonical discriminant functions evaluated at group means, i.e. functions at group centroids showing average discriminant score for members in three groups, were found to be

for the South

function 1: -1.000, function 2: -0.701;

for the Center

function 1: 0.766, function 2: -0.382;

for the North

function 1: -0.151, function 2: 0.675.

Classification coefficients, i.e. Fisher's linear discriminant functions, for radionuclide activity concentration in top soil in the South, Center and North of Montenegro are

137Cs: 0.017, 0.029 and 0.029, respectively,

40K: 0.020, 0.017 and 0.027, respectively,

226Ra: -0.119, -0.192 and -0.181, respectively,

232Th: 0.358, 0.585 and 0.484, respectively.

Finally, the summary results are presented in Fig. 2, with pretty well-separated centroids of groups. The classification results showed that 76.6% of original grouped cases are correctly classified. As it is expected, a classification with prior probability *-all groups* equal- gave a smaller percentage of original grouped cases as correctly classified (68.1%).



Figure 2. Separation of soil samples from three regions in Montenegro by two discriminant functions

The factor analysis was also performed for all the indices, with Principal Component Analysis as the extraction method (based on *eigenvalues* greater than 1) and Varimax with Kaiser Normalization as the rotation method. The analysis was based on

correlation matrix (determinant = 0.000, not positive definite),

communalities (initial, extraction),

data about total variance explained,

component matrix with 2 components extracted,

reproduced correlations and residuals (computed between observed and reproduced correlations;

with 10 (15%) nonredundant residuals with absolute values greater than 0.05), as well as rotated component

matrix given in Table 6 (rotation converged in 3 iterations).

The component score coefficient matrix is given in Table 7, while Fig. 3 shows the component plot in the rotated space.

Гable 6. Rotated component matri	able 6.	a	<ol><li>Rot</li></ol>	tated	com	pon	ent	ma	tri	x
----------------------------------	---------	---	-----------------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	---

	Component		
Variable	1	2	
Ra <sub>eq</sub> , Bq/kg	0.996	-0.061	
H <sub>ex</sub>	0.996	-0.072	
Enatural, mSv	0.995	-0.096	
D <sub>natural</sub> , nGy/h	0.995	-0.096	
Ι	0.994	-0.110	
$H_{in}$	0.991	0.006	
<sup>232</sup> Th, Bq/kg	0.955	-0.056	
<sup>226</sup> Ra, Bq/kg	0.950	0.133	
D(natural+Cs), nGy/h	0.947	0.274	
$E_{(natural+Cs)}, mSv$	0.947	0.274	
<sup>137</sup> Cs, Bq/kg	0.257	0.852	
<sup>40</sup> K, Bq/kg	0.236	-0.732	

The obtained data showed that there were two initial *eigenvalues* and extraction sums of squared loadings >1 (component 1: 9.670 and component 2: 1.467) explaining 80.583 and 12.226% of the variance (92.809% in total). The first component loaded basically on  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{226}$ Ra activity (in Table 6: 0.955 and 0.950, respectively) explained around 80.6% of the total variance. The second one, explaining around 12.2% of the total variance, is found to be strongly correlated with  $^{137}$ Cs and  $^{40}$ K activity (in Table 6: 0.852 and -0.732, respectively), as it can also be seen from Fig. 3.

Table 7. Component score coefficient matrix

	Component	
Variable	1	2
<sup>137</sup> Cs, Bq/kg	0.014	0.577
<sup>40</sup> K, Bq/kg	0.035	-0.502
<sup>226</sup> Ra, Bq/kg	0.097	0.077
<sup>232</sup> Th, Bq/kg	0.100	-0.052
Ra <sub>eq</sub> , Bq/kg	0.104	-0.056
Hex	0.104	-0.064
Hin	0.103	-0.010
I	0.105	-0.090
D <sub>natural</sub> , nGy/h	0.105	-0.080
Enatural, mSv	0.105	-0.080
$D_{(natural+Cs)}, nGy/h$	0.094	0.172
E(natural+Cs), mSV	0.094	0.173



Figure 3. Plot in rotated space: component 1 (~80.6%) and component 2 (~12.2%)

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Radionuclide activity concentrations in soils of Montenegro and radiation hazard indices inferred from those concentrations have been evaluated for the first time using a statistical approach.

A statistically significant positive correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.868) is found between <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th activity concentrations in soil samples from 47 locations in Montenegro, 10 on the South, 17 in the Central region, and 20 on the North.

Statistically significant mean differences are found between Center and South for <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>232</sup>Th activity concentration, Center and North for <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th, and North and South for <sup>40</sup>K activity concentration.

The highest average  ${}^{40}$ K activity concentration (567 Bq/kg) was found in the North, whilst that for  ${}^{137}$ Cs,  ${}^{226}$ Ra and  ${}^{232}$ Th – in the Center. Average  ${}^{226}$ Ra and  ${}^{232}$ Th activity concentrations in the Central region (57 and 53.7 Bq/kg, respectively), higher than the South, North and worldwide averages, could be explained by the region geology. The Central region in Montenegro is characterized by the occurrence of bauxite formations, and it is a limestone and High Karst area with volcanic rocks and flysch sediments. Therefore, somewhat higher  ${}^{226}$ Ra and  ${}^{232}$ Th activity concentrations in soil are not unexpected.

Discriminant Analysis, as a multivariate test of differences among groups of surface soil sampling sites –South, Center, North– based on the measured <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations, showed 76.6% of original grouped cases as correctly classified. Soil samples from three regions in Montenegro (group centroids) were found to be clearly separated by two discriminant functions.

Principal Component Analysis with radionuclide activity concentrations, radium equivalent activity, external and internal hazard index, activity concentration index, gamma absorbed dose rate in air and annual effective dose – all serving as variables, extracted two components explaining around 92.8% of the total variance.

### References

- Statistical Yearbook of Montenegro 2018, Statistical Office of Montenegro – MONSTAT, Podgorica, Montenegro, 2018. Retrieved from: http://monstat.org/userfiles/file/publikacije/godisnjak %202018/GODISNJAK%202018%20PRELOM.pdf Retrieved on: Sep. 26, 2019
- N. M. Antovic, N. Svrkota, I. Antovic, "Radiological impacts of natural radioactivity from soil in Montenegro," *Radiat. Prot. Dosim.*, vol. 148, no. 3, pp. 310 – 317, Feb. 2012. DOI: 10.1093/rpd/ncro87 PMid: 21498861
- N. M. Antović, D. S. Bošković, N. R. Svrkota, I. M. Antović, "Radioactivity in soil from Mojkovac, Montenegro, and assessment of radiological and cancer risk," *Nucl. Technol. Radiat. Prot.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 57 – 63, Mar. 2012. DOI: 10.2298/NTRP1201057A
- N. M. Antović et al., "Radioactivity impact assessment of Nikšić region in Montenegro," J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., vol. 302, no. 2, pp. 831 – 836, Nov. 2014. DOI: 10.1007/s10967-014-3254-3

- N. M. Antovic, P. Vukotic, N. Svrkota, S. K. Andrukhovich, "Pu-239+240 and Cs-137 in Montenegro soil: their correlation and origin," *J. Environ. Radioact.*, vol. 110, pp. 90 – 97, Aug. 2012. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2012.02.001 PMid: 22445877
- Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, Annex B, Rep. A/55/46, UNSCEAR, New York (NY), USA, 2000. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.unscear.org/docs/publications/2000/UN SCEAR 2000 Annex-B.pdf</u> Retrieved on: Jun. 25, 2016
- Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, Annex B, Rep. A/63/46, UNSCEAR, New York (NY), USA, 2010. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.unscear.org/docs/publications/2008/UN SCEAR\_2008\_Annex-B-CORR.pdf</u> Retrieved on: Feb. 10, 2019
- 8. Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML) Procedures Manual, Rep. HASL-300, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, New York (NY), USA, 1997. Retrieved from: https://www.hsdl.org/?abstract&did=487142
- Retrieved on: Apr. 2, 2019 9. GammaVision-32 Software User's Manual, 6th ed., AMETEK Inc. (ORTEC), Oak Ridge (TN), USA, 2003. Retrieved from: https://www.ortec-online.com/-/media/ametekortec/manuals/a66-mnl.pdf Retrieved on: Feb. 10, 2019
- 10. *Recommended data*, Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel, Gif-Sur-Yvette, France, 2017. Retrieved from: http://www.nucleide.org/DDEP\_WG/DDEPdata.htm

Retrieved on: Nov. 09, 201811. J. Beretka, P. J. Matthew, "Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial wastes and

by-products," *Health Phys.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 87 – 95, Jan. 1985. DOI: 10.1097/00004032-198501000-00007

PMid: 3967976

12. The Council of European Union. (Dec. 5, 2013). Council Directive 2013/59/EURATOM. Laying down basic safety standards for protection against the dangers arising from exposure to ionising radiation, and repealing Directives 89/618/Euratom, 90/641/Euratom, 96/29/Euratom, 97/43/Euratom and 2003/122/Euratom. Retrieved from: https://eur-

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:20 14:013:0001:0073:EN:PDF Retrieved on: Jul. 11, 2019

13. SPSS Statistics version 20, IBM, Armonk (NY), USA, 2011.

Retrieved from: https://www.ibm.com/support/pages/ibm-spssstatistics-200-release-notes#relnotes\_description Retrieved on: Aug. 29, 2019

- N. M. Antovic, N. Svrkota, I. Antovic, R. Svrkota, D. Jancic, "Radioactivity in Montenegro beach sands and assessment of the corresponding environmental risk," *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 153 – 162, Jun. 2013. DOI: 10.1080/10256016.2013.734303 PMid: 23452289
- M. Mirković i dr., "Geološka karta Crne Gore, 1:200.000," Zavod za geološka istraživanja Crne Gore, Podgorica, Crna Gora, 1985.
   (M. Mirković et al., "Geological Map of Montenegro, 1:200,000," Geological Survey of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro, 1985.)
   Retrieved from: <u>https://geozavod.co.me/</u> Retrieved on: Jun. 29, 2019